



# Using XML for Archiving Software-Lifecycle Artifacts in Revision Control Systems

Holger Dörnemann Technical Lead, Rational Software GmbH

### Motivation

- Modern software projects are very complex, in terms of
  - project size (requirements, duration, man power, roles)
  - deliverables to produce
- Can you answer the following questions for your entire project (any project you dealt with):
  - Which requirements met release X.Y.Z?
  - What did you test against the release of October 19xx?
  - What specifications lead to the release labeled with 'THE\_BEST\_RELEASE'





# Motivation

Can you answer the following questions for your entire project (any project you dealt with):

- Which requirements met release X.Y.Z?
- What did you test against the release of October 19xx?
- What specifications lead to the release labeled with 'MANUA\_LOA\_RELEASE'





MRG

#### **Software Engineering Processes**

- To deal better with complex software projects many different engineering processes have been introduced
  - Common elements of software processes are:
    - roles
    - activities
    - deliverables
    - workflows

- people who do something
- the work they do
- the result of their work
- oder in which things have to be done
- The Rational Unified Process defines
   WORKERS, ACTIVITIES, ARTIFACTS and WORKFLOWS





#### **Software Engineering Processes**

 To deal better with complex software projects many different engineering processes have been introduced

The Rational Unified Process defines
 WORKERS, ACTIVITIES, ARTIFACTS and WORKFLOWS







#### Situation of Today's Projects

- According to the implemented process, projects must
  - deliver certain artifacts (10 ??)
  - use different tools to cope with activities
  - write code!
  - make sure that the code is maintained in a configuration management or revision control system
- Most projects struggle with keeping code and artifacts (specifications, models etc.) in sync!





#### Situation of Today's Projects

#### Example of deliverables of a worker D evelo p Elicit Stak eholder Deve bo Ma nage Requirem ents Requirements Diepen dendies Vis ion Requests Manag ement Plain Mange ment Plan System Analyst D evelop Capture a Fin d Ac tors Structure the Use-Case Com mon and Use Clases Use-Casle Use- Case Modelin g & ujdelin es Voca bular y M odel Mod eling responsible for Guid elinés. Requirements Supp lemeintary Use-Case Visi on Glossany Stakeholder Attributes Model Specification Requests

 Most projects struggle with keeping code and artifacts (specifications, models etc.) in sync!







#### The Overall Problem

- Different places where software lifecycle artifacts are versioned
- Great source for inonsistencies and failures
- Large administration effort
- Possible solution: Use a single repository e.g. a configuration management system





#### **Typical Problems with Tools**

- Artifacts of repository-based tools are hard to handle:
  - database / repository must not be put under revision control
  - data are not available in a standarized format
  - output only possible via tool API (if one exists)
- Other artifact problems cover
   binary data formats (hard to diff / merge)
   general unavailability of diff / merge tools







#### **Best solution:**

One repository for all assets of the software lifecycle Rel 2.0 Configuration Management System

#### **Best solution:**

Use one standard format

XML



Rational the e-development company

#### **Today's Challenges with this Solution**

- Configuration Management Systems are build to handle file elements
- Other tools normally handle information on a different scale of granularity ("things" in files / repositories)

#### To solve:

- is granularity a matter of architecture or
- is it a matter of performance or
- is it both?





#### A First Step Towards a Unified Solution

 Make at least milestone lifecycle artifacts identifiable with software release and/or components

#### Advantages:

- Artifacts like requirements, test assets are associated with a distinct source-code base
- Pre-condition for branching different variants of the same release
- Quality assurance made practical
- Can have change records





#### How to Break Down Elements?

#### <u>Example:</u> Requirements Management Artifacts



#### **Obstacles for Requirements Management**

- Granularity still not far enough:
  - All requirements of one type are in one XML-file
    Would need one XML-file per requirement
- All XML-files must be placed under version control:
  - Large file traffic expected
  - Need for shared workspaces
  - How to achieve that everyone is working on the latest available data?





# **Project's Solution for Today**



#### Summary (1)

- Projects have a need for a common versioning of software lifecycle artifacts + source code
- Almost impossible today due to tool restrictions (databases, repositories, source code DB)

#### • We have a need for:

- standard data format, like XML
- common repository (e. g. config management system)





# Summary (2)

#### Challenges to solve: $\blacklozenge$ granularity of information (performance?!) handling (workspaces, actual versions) Achievable advantages: effective branching of variants change records possible **Today's solution:** archive and version milestone artifacts





















Rational Software GmbH Niederlassung Düsseldorf Wanheimer Strasse 43 D-40472 Düsseldorf +49 - 211 - 417928-16

#### Holger Dörnemann (doernemann@rational.com)



New postal address from 1st April 2001!

Rational the e-development company